UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/560,846	12/15/2005	Kiyoshi Fujii	39088	2071
52054	7590 10/19/2007	EXAMINER .		
PEARNE & GORDON LLP 1801 EAST 9TH STREET SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			BOR, HELENE CATHERINE	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CLLVLLAND	, 011 नना 1न-5100		3768	
•				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/19/2007	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patdocket@pearne.com dchervenak@pearne.com

•							
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/560,846	FUJII, KIYOSHI					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Helene Bor	3768					
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mile, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 A	August 2007.						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa							
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.	4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) 1-4 is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 December 2005</u> is/s		objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documen</li> </ol>	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<u> </u>							
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>	ority documents have bee	en received in this National Stage					
application from the International Burea		•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	ot received.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date					
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		of Informal Patent Application					

Application/Control Number: 10/560,846 Page 2

Art Unit: 3768

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments, see page 3 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph, filed 08/01/2007, regarding the drawings have been fully considered and are deemed persuasive. Therefore, the objections to the drawing are withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's amendments in regards to the specifications, see page 3 4<sup>th</sup> paragraph, filed 08/01/2007, have been fully considered and overcome the objections. Therefore, the objections to the specification and the title are withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's arguments, see page 4 1<sup>st</sup> paragraph, filed 08/01/2007, regarding the 35 U.S.C 112 second paragraph rejection, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Ramamurthy uses the term "window". Thus, since Ramamurthy uses the term, "window" is understandable to one of ordinary skill in the art. The examiner respectfully disagrees. While Ramamurthy uses the term "window", another term "lens" almost always accompanies "window". Ramamurthy's use of the term "lens" preceding "window" further defines "window" and its structural and functional relationship with the system. The examiner wishes to draw the applicant's attention to Slayton (US Patent No. 6,623,430), which is a patent within the applicant's field of endeavor. In Figure 5, Element 502, Slayton uses the term "window" and is using the term differently than Ramamurthy. The example is meant to show that the term is used within the art of thermal control of ultrasound transducers and carrying differing meanings. The applicant's use of "window" without further defining the terms functional and structural relationship with the rest of the leaves the term to be

Application/Control Number: 10/560,846

Art Unit: 3768

indiscernible to one of ordinary skill in the art. The claim language is such that a person of ordinary skill in the art could not interpret the metes and bounds of the claim to understand how to avoid infringement. Thus, the 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph

rejection is maintained.

Applicant's arguments filed 08/01/2007 have been fully considered but they are 4. not persuasive. The declaration filed on 08/01/2007 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Ramamurthy reference. The evidence submitted is insufficient to establish a reduction to practice of the invention in this country or a NAFTA or WTO member country prior to the effective date of the June 23. 2003 reference. In general, proof of actual reduction to practice requires showing that the apparatus actually existed and worked for its intended purpose (See MPEP 715.07). The applicant submitted evidence of conception of the invention but the declaration is ineffective in proving the reduction of practice. The applicant argued that Ramamurthy reference did not teach "calculating the sound velocity of ultrasonic waves based on the difference between the reflex time of the ultrasonic wave reflected from the inner surface of a window in contact with a test subject and the reflex time of ultrasonic wave reflected from the outer surface of the window and the thickness of the window." The rejection 35 USC § 102(e) was made as best understood by the examiner and is maintained. Ramamurthy'551 teaches a difference of velocity/attenuation at varying distances [lens or window surface] and that the changing [difference] ratio over time indicates temperature or temperature change (Col. 12, Line 47- Col. 13, Line 19). Ramamurthy'551 teaches calculating the temperature based on the thickness of the

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/560,846

Art Unit: 3768

window/lens (t<sub>1</sub>) and the propagation velocity (v<sub>1</sub>) (Col. 10, Line 40-60). From the calculating, a temperature of the window is calculated (Col. 12, Line 65 – Col. 11, Line 10). The rejection 35 USC § 102(b) was made as best understood by the examiner and is maintained. The applicant argued that The term "window" was interpreted to mean an access layer because the structural and functional relationship of the claimed "window" with its system is unclear. Umemura teaches measuring the intensity and the echo signal reflected to monitor the change in the acoustic impedance and in the sound velocity due to the temperature rise of the irradiation zone (Col. 7, Line 35-39). Umemura teaches the temperature calculation by velocity difference as claimed by the applicant and as best understood by the examiner.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 1 & 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The feature "a window" is not clearly defined in structure or function with relation to the claimed invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/560,846 Page 5

Art Unit: 3768

3. Claim 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ramamurthy et al. (US Patent No. 7,156,551 B2).

Claim 1: The rejection is made on the claim as best understood by the examiner. Ramamurthy'551 teaches an ultrasonic diagnostic apparatus that measures temperature dependent properties (Abstract). Ramamurthy'551 teaches measuring the temperature of the lens or window of an ultrasound probe as a function of changes in velocity of sound (Col. 1, Line 45-47). Ramamurthy'551 teaches a difference of velocity/attenuation at varying distances and that the changing ratio over time indicates temperature or temperature change (Col. 12, Line 47- Col. 13, Line 19). Ramamurthy'551 teaches calculating the temperature based on the thickness of the window/lens (t<sub>1</sub>) and the propagation velocity (v<sub>1</sub>) (Col. 10, Line 40-60). From the calculating, a temperature of the window is calculated (Col. 12, Line 65 – Col. 11, Line 10). Ramamurthy'551 teaches the apparatus controlling ultrasonic wave output based on temperature calculated by the temperature calculation means (Col. 14, Line 36-45).

Claim 2: The rejection is made on the claim as best understood by the examiner. Ramamurthy'551 teaches an ultrasonic diagnostic apparatus that measures temperature dependent properties (Abstract). Ramamurthy'551 teaches measuring the temperature of the lens or window of an ultrasound probe as a function of changes in velocity of sound (Col. 1, Line 45-47). Ramamurthy'551 teaches a difference of velocity/attenuation at varying distances and that the changing ratio over time indicates temperature or temperature change (Col. 12, Line 47- Col. 13, Line 19). Ramamurthy'551 teaches calculating the temperature based on the thickness of the

Application/Control Number: 10/560,846

Art Unit: 3768

window/lens ( $t_1$ ) and the propagation velocity ( $v_1$ ) (Col. 10, Line 40-60).

Ramamurthy'551 teaches the calculation of gel/tissue/water by using the curve fitting approach (Col. 12, Line 66 – Col. 13, Line 3) or by using a lower frequency excitation signal to provide a larger reflection from the lens or window surface (Col. 11, Line 63 – Col. 12, Line 3). From the calculating, a temperature of the window is calculated (Col. 12, Line 65 – (Col. 11, Line 10). Ramamurthy'551 teaches the apparatus controlling ultrasonic wave output based on temperature calculated by the temperature calculation means (Col. 14, Line 36-45).

Claim 3/1: Ramamurthy'551 teaches memory for storing the calculations and performing calibrations before a single temperature measurement or at the time of manufacture. Ramamurthy'551 also teaches the transducer communicating reference information/transducer properties to the ultrasound system for the purpose of identification and calibration (Col. 14, Line 46 – Col. 15, Line 10).

Claim 4/2: Ramamurthy'551 teaches memory for storing the calculations and performing calibrations before a single temperature measurement or at the time of manufacture. Ramamurthy'551 also teaches the transducer communicating reference information/transducer properties to the ultrasound system for the purpose of identification and calibration (Col. 14, Line 46 – Col. 15, Line 10).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/560,846 Page 7

Art Unit: 3768

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Umemura 042 et al. (US Patent No. 4,865,042).

Claim 1: The rejection is made on the claim as best understood by the examiner. Umemura'042 teaches measuring the intensity and the echo signal reflected to monitor the change in the acoustic impedance and in the sound velocity due to the temperature rise of the irradiation zone (Col. 7, Line 35-39). Umemura'042 teaches monitoring means [output] (Col. 6, Line 35-38) for temperature sensing and make it convenient to position the irradiation target [controlling ultrasonic wave output] (Col. 7, Line 11-20). Umemura'042 does not teach a "window" however since the structural and functional relationship of the "window" is unclear, the term is being interpreted as the access layer in the treated zone. Umemura'042 teaches using the signals indicating the depth and radius of the focal zone or "window" (Col. 7, Line 22-26).

#### Conclusion

6. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/560,846

Art Unit: 3768

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Helene Bor whose telephone number is 571-272-2947. The examiner can normally be reached on M-T 8:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

hcb